

# Selection criteria and safety of laparoscopic repair for perforated peptic ulcer

Miranda, P<sup>1</sup>; Fonseca, F<sup>2</sup>; Costeira, B<sup>2</sup>; Azevedo, P<sup>1</sup>; Mafalda, F<sup>1</sup>; Ferreira, M<sup>1</sup>; Cunha, C<sup>1</sup>; Sousa, M<sup>2</sup>; Luz, G<sup>1</sup>; Garrido, R<sup>1</sup>; Cravo, M<sup>3</sup>; Maio, R<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cirurgia Geral, Hospital Beatriz Ângelo; <sup>2</sup>Cirurgia Geral, Instituto Português de Oncologia Francisco Gentil, Lisboa; <sup>3</sup>Gastroenterologia, Hospital Beatriz Ângelo

## Introduction

Perforated peptic ulcer (PPU) is the most common cause of emergency surgery among gastroduodenal ulcer complications and carries a high risk of morbidity and mortality. The role of laparoscopic surgery in the repair for PPU is still unclear. The main objective of this analysis is to evaluate the safety of laparoscopy for PPU and also to identify selection criteria for laparoscopic approach.

## Methods

Retrospective analysis of all operated patients with PPU in Beatriz Ângelo Hospital, since May of 2012 until August of 2020. The statistic analyses were made by Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) system.

## Results

Total of patients: 116

Laparoscopic repair (LR):

- 40 (34.5%)

Open repair (OR):

- 76 (65.5%)



■ LR ■ OR

	LR	OR	P value
Age (years)	52	62	<b>0.009</b>
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23	24.5	0.594
ASA>2	10 (25%)	36 (47%)	0.019

Table 1: Patient demographics

### References:

- [1] – Thorsen et al, Scoring systems for outcome prediction in patients with perforated peptic ulcer; Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine, 2013
- [2] – Sivaram, P. et al., Preoperative factors influencing mortality and morbidity in peptic ulcer perforation, Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg, 2018
- [3] –Tan, S. et al; Laparoscopic versus open repair for perforated peptic ulcer: A meta analysis of randomized controlled trials; International Journal of Surgery 33 (2016)

	LR	OR	P value
Shock	1 (2,5%)	21 (28%)	<b>0.001</b>
Boey Score >2	4 (0,1%)	32 (42%)	<b>0.001</b>
Symptom to surgery >24h	16 (40%)	49 (64%)	<b>0.012</b>
Admission to surgery >24h	5 (12,5%)	10 (13%)	0.900

Table 2: Symptom duration and severity

	LR	OR	P value
Ulcer size > 1cm	3 (7,5%)	24 (32%)	<b>0.008</b>
Operative time (min)	75	71	0.460
Blood loss (mL)	43	82	0.087

Table 3: Intraoperative variables

	LR	OR	P value
Nasogastric tube (days)	2,6	3,4	0.027
Diet progression (days)	2,8	4,0	0.460
ICU admission	7 (17,5%)	34 (45%)	<b>0.040</b>
Hospital stay (days)	9	11	0.295
Re-admission	0 (0%)	34 (45%)	0.187
Re-operation	3 (7,5%)	10 (13%)	0.359
Morbidity rate	3 (7,5%)	37 (49%)	<b>0.001</b>
Mortality	2 (5%)	18 (24%)	<b>0.011</b>

Table 4: Hospital stay and complications

## Conclusions

Laparoscopic surgery was safe in setting of PPU repair in selected patients. Pre-operative shock, time of presentation, ASA and Boey score were the most used factors to select patients for LR. However, higher quality studies should be undertaken to further assess the safety and selection criteria for laparoscopic peptic ulcer repair.